



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/759,819	01/16/2004	Douglas Todd Hayden	10002614-1	7995

22879 7590 09/14/2006

HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION
FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400

EXAMINER

STIGLIC, RYAN M

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2112

DATE MAILED: 09/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/759,819

Applicant(s)

HAYDEN, DOUGLAS TODD

Examiner

Ryan M. Stiglic

Art Unit

2112

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 June 2006.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7,9-11 and 31-36 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7,9-11 and 31-36 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 29 June 2006 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-7, 9-11 and 31-36 are pending and have been examined.
2. Claims 1-7, 9-11 and 31-36 are rejected.

Drawings

3. The drawings were received on June 29, 2006. These drawings are acceptable and placed in the record.

Specification

4. The amendment to the specification dated June 29, 2006 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

5. The rejection of claim 8 has been withdrawn in light of the cancellation of claim 8.
6. The objection to the drawings under 37 C.F.R. §1.83(a) has been withdrawn in light of the new drawing Figure 9.
7. Applicant's arguments filed June 29, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to the rejections of independent claims 1 and 31 from the non-final Office Action dated April 6, 2006 applicant alleges the Satoh reference does not teach the claimed limitations and supports this assertion by saying, "the Satoh Patent is directed to power supply contacts and reducing the variation of a power source current transferred via the power supply contacts (page 9 of applicant's remarks)." The Examiner has noted (pages 4-5 of the

Art Unit: 2112

Office Action dated April 6, 2006) that while Satoh does not explicitly disclose a pre-charge circuit and a low-impedance short circuit on bus lines 15a and 15b of figure 5, "various modifications will become possible for those skilled in the art after receiving the teachings of the present disclosure (col. 7, ll. 1-3 of Satoh)." The Examiner respectfully submitted that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention would have been motivated to implement the pre-charge circuit and low-impedance short circuit of the power supply line of figure 9 onto the bus signal lines of figure 5 such that in-rush current flow across the bus signal lines is reduced therefore resulting in a device whose connector is free from damage during insertion. The motivation to modify, which applicant alleges is not present (bottom of page 9 of applicant's remarks), comes directly from Satoh in column 6, lines 43-48 where it states the use of the pre-charge circuit and low-impedance short circuit, "...reduces the variation of the power source current (i.e. modified to bus signal currents) when the... package connector 18 is connected to the mother board connector... Consequently the package connector 18, mother board connect 24 and wirings are free from damage." One of ordinary skill in the art would clearly be motivated to apply the teachings of Satoh to the bus signal lines in an effort to reduce possible damage from occurring on a connector or wirings associated with the bus signals.

Insofar as applicant's arguments regarding independent claims 1 and 31 are not persuasive and since all dependent claims are merely argued as being dependent on a claim that is in a condition for allowance, the prior art rejections from the Office Action dated April 6, 2006 are applied below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

9. Claims 1-6, 9-10, 31-33 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Satoh (US 5,729,062).

For claim 1 Satoh teaches:

A system comprising:

- a bus comprising signal lines (Fig. 5, items 15a and 15b; col. 4, ll. 38-50); and
- a device (Fig. 9, item 5) configured to be inserted onto and removed from the bus through contacts (Fig. 9, items 57-59) configured to provide at different times during insertion and removal contact between a pre-charge circuit and one of the signal lines, and a low-impedance across the pre-charge circuit (col. 5, line 62 – col. 6, line 27).

While Satoh discloses contacts configured to provide at different times during insertion and removal contact between a pre-charge circuit and a power supply line, and a low-impedance across the pre-charge circuit, Satoh does not expressly disclose such a pre-charge circuit and a low-impedance short circuit on the bus signal lines 15a and 15b of figure 5. Satoh however admits, “Various modifications will become possible for those skilled in the art after receiving the teachings of the present disclosure without departing from the scope thereof (col. 7, ll. 1-3).”

Art Unit: 2112

With regards to the teachings of Satoh, it is disclosed that implementing the invention of Satoh "...reduces the variation of the power source current to occur when the power source pin 19 of the package connector 18 is connected to the mother board connector and on the transition from the plug-in mode to the regular mode. Consequently, the package connector 18, mother board connector 24 and wirings are free from damage (col. 6, ll. 43-48)."

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to apply the active plug-in circuit used to limit the in-rush current on the power supply line of figure 9 on the bus signal lines of figure 5 such that the in-rush current flow across the bus signal lines is reduced thus resulting in a device who's connector is free from damage.

The combination of figures 9 and 5 would thus result in the bus signal lines 18a and 18b being duplicated and appearing identical to the two signal lines on package connector 56 (Fig. 9) who mate with the current limiting circuit (resistor 50 of Fig. 9) and the low-impedance short circuit (the signal line connected to pin 57). Therefore the low-impedance short circuit and the current limiting circuit would be placed in series prior to the switches 15a and 15b since low-impedance short circuit and current limiting circuit are show in figure 9 to the first elements connected to the mother board connector 64.

For claim 2 Satoh teaches:

The system of claim 1, where the pre-charge circuit comprises a resistor located between one of the contacts and the device (Fig. 9, item 50; col. 6, ll. 18-20).

Art Unit: 2112

For claim 3 Satoh teaches:

The system of claim 1, comprising a switch located between the contacts and the device (Fig. 5, items 15a and 15b; col. 4, ll. 38-50; the switches close only when the bus signal lines have been stabilized).

For claim 4 Satoh teaches:

The system of claim 3, where the switch is a field effect transistor located between the contacts and the device.

Satoh teaches that the switches (Fig. 5, 15a and 15b) are electronically controlled by the output of mode setting device 12 (Fig. 3) but does not explicitly state the switches are field effect transistors. Furthermore, Satoh admits knowledge of CMOS (Complimentary Metal Oxide Semiconductor) field effect transistors and their low power consumption (col. 4, ll. 51-57). As such *Official Notice* is taken that the use of field effect transistors as switching devices is well known to those skilled in the art.

For claim 5 Satoh teaches:

The system of claim 3, where the switch is configured to conduct after the low-impedance is provided across the pre-charge circuit (The switches 15a and 15b are closed only when the electronic circuit is stabilized as a result of the low impedance circuit [Fig. 9] connecting to the mother signal line [col. 4, ll. 38-50]).

Art Unit: 2112

For claim 6 Satoh teaches:

The system of claim 1, comprising reference contacts configured to provide a common reference to the bus and the device before contact between the pre-charge circuit and one of the signal lines as the device is inserted onto the bus (Fig. 9, item 63).

For claim 9 Satoh teaches:

The system of claim 1, comprising power contacts, where the power contacts are configured to provide power at the same time as contact between, the pre-charge circuit and one of the signal lines, as the device is inserted onto the bus (Since the teachings of the power contacts is applied to the bus signal lines the power contacts and the bus signal contacts would thus connect at the same time).

For claim 10 Satoh teaches:

The system of claim 1, where the signal lines comprise a serial data line and a serial clock line (col. 4, ll. 38-50).

For claim 31 Satoh teaches:

A system, comprising:

- a bus comprising signal lines (Fig. 5, items 15a and 15b; col. 4, ll. 38-50);
- a device configured to be inserted onto and removed from the bus (Fig. 3, item 1) through contacts (Fig. 9, items 57-59) configured to provide at different times during insertion and removal contact between a pre-charge circuit and one of the signal lines, and a low-

Art Unit: 2112

impedance across the pre-charge circuit (col. 5, line 62 – col. 6, line 27; please see rejection of claim 1 above),

- the contacts comprising a connector system including a first connector (Fig. 9, 57 as applied to line 18a or 18b of figure 5; please see rejection of claim 1 above), a second connector (Fig. 9, 59 as applied to line 18a or 18b of figure 5; please see rejection of claim 1 above), where the first connector is configured to provide a first pre-charge circuit between the second connector and a first bus signal line and the second connector is configured to provide a first short-circuit between the second connector and the first bus signal line, where the first connector and the second connector are staggered to provide the first pre-charge circuit and the first short-circuit at different times during engagement and disengagement of the connector system (col. 5, line 62 – col. 6, line 27; please see rejection of claim 1 above).

For claim 32 Satoh teaches:

The system of claim 31 comprising:

- a third connector (Fig. 9, 57 as applied to line 18a or 18b of figure 5; please see rejection of claim 1 above); and
- a fourth connector (Fig. 9, 59 as applied to line 18a or 18b of figure 5; please see rejection of claim 1 above) where the third connector is configured to provide a second pre-charge circuit between the fourth connector and a second bus signal line, and the fourth connector is configured to provide a second short-circuit between the fourth connector and the second bus signal line, where the third connector and the fourth

connector are staggered to provide the second pre-charge circuit and the second short-circuit at different times during engagement and disengagement of the connector system (col. 5, line 62 – col. 6, line 27; please see rejection of claim 1 above).

For claim 33 Satoh teaches:

The system of claim 32, where the first connector and the third connector are staggered to simultaneously provide the first pre-charge circuit between the second connector and the first bus signal line and the second pre-charge circuit between the fourth connector and the second bus signal line (Since the teachings of the power contacts is applied to both bus signal lines the connections associated with each bus signal would connect at the same time).

For claim 35 Satoh teaches:

The system of claim 33, where the second connector and the fourth connector are staggered to simultaneously provide the first short-circuit between the second connector and the first bus signal line, and the second short-circuit between the fourth connector and the second bus signal line (Since the teachings of the power contacts is applied to both bus signal lines the connections associated with each bus signal would connect at the same time).

10. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Satoh as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Paul Li.

Art Unit: 2112

Satoh teaches a hot-insertion system (please see the rejection of claim 1 above) in which the power and reference lines connect at substantially equivalent times. Satoh does not expressly state implementing a staggered connection of power and reference lines.

Li teaches (page 3):

The major design guideline for hot-swap is that during hot-swapping, connect the ground pin of the inserting card to the ground pin of the motherboard before any other signal or power pins are connected. This is the main request for hot-swap. It is the industry standard for any hot-swap applications for both logic and switch devices. If the ground pins of the card and motherboard were not connected before any other pins during hot-swap, the voltage of the power and signal at connectors will go wild due to the lack of ground reference, and will burn the logic device designed for hot-swap.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to stagger the connection of the ground and power pins of Satoh such that voltages will not go wild due to lack of ground reference and will not burn the logic device.

11. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Satoh as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of The I²C Specification.

Art Unit: 2112

Satoh teaches the bus signal lines comprising a singular data line along with a clock signal much like an inter-integrated circuit (I^2C) bus but does not explicitly state the two bus signal lines represent an I^2C bus.

The I^2C Specification teaches the I^2C is an excellent choice for device communication because:

- Only two bus lines are required; a serial data line (SDA) and a serial clock line (SCL)
- Each device connected to the bus is software addressable by a unique address and simple master/slave relationships exist at all times; masters can operate as master-transmitters or as master-receivers
- Design-time reduces as designers quickly become familiar with the frequently used functional blocks represented by I^2C -bus compatible ICs
- ICs can be added to or removed from a system without affecting any other circuits on the bus
- Fault diagnosis and debugging are simple; malfunctions can be immediately traced (page 4)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to implement the data and signal line of Satoh as an I^2C bus because the simple 2-wire serial I^2C -bus minimizes interconnections so ICs have fewer pins and there are not so many PCB tracks resulting in - smaller and less expensive PCBs.

Art Unit: 2112

12. Claims 34 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Satoh as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Liencres et al. (US 5,644,731).

Satoh teaches a hot insertion system (please see the rejection of claim 1 above) where the connection of a data signal line 18b (Fig. 5) and a clock signal line 18a (Fig. 5) occur at a substantially equivalent time. Satoh does not expressly teach that the connection of the clock and data signal lines can be staggered in a sequence.

Liencres teaches a hot insertion system similar to Satoh in that the connection of a plurality of bus signal lines (Fig. 3A, 3213a – 3213n; Fig. 4A, 4213a – 4213n) occur at a substantially equivalent time. In addition to this similar hot insertion system Liencres also teaches using convex and concave connectors (Fig. 5A and 5B) such that bus signal lines are connected in a staggered fashion. It is important to note however that the operations of the interfaces (both the simultaneous connection as shown in Fig. 3A and the staggered connections of Fig. 5A and 5B) are similar (col. 7, ll. 1-7) but the convex and concave connectors provide a shape that enhances immunity to a tilted insertion.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to implement the convex or concave connector of Liencres into the hot-insertion system of Satoh such that the Plug-In package of Satoh is provided with staggered bus signal connections that enhance the immunity of a tilted card insertion.

Conclusion

13. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).


A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ryan M. Stiglic whose telephone number is 571.272.3641. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (6:00-3:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rehana Perveen can be reached on 571.272.3676. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2112

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.


PAUL F. MYERS
PRIMARY EXAMINER

RMS